20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

1	MILSTEIN, ADELMAN & KREGER, LLP					
2	Wayne S. Kreger, State Bar No. 154759 Sara D. Avila, State Bar No. 263213					
3	2800 Donald Douglas Loop North Santa Monica, California 90405					
4	Telephone: (310) 396-9600 Fax: (310) 396-9635					
5	WHATLEY DRAKE KALLAS	N. 440<000)				
6	Joe Whatley, Jr. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) NY Bar No. 4406088) 1540 BROADWAY, 37TH FLOOR					
7	NEW YORK , NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 447-7070					
8	LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD WEIL RUBINSTEIN					
9	Howard W. Rubinstein (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) F. Post Office Box 4839	L Bar No. 104108)				
10	Aspen, Colorado 81611 Telephone: (832) 715-2788					
11	A44 C - D1-'-4'CCNT' 1 - 11 - XX - 1 -					
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michelle Weeks					
13	LINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT				
14						
15	FOR THE CENTRAL D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16	SARENA I AKSHMI KAMMIJI A					
17	SABENA LAKSHMI KAMMULA; MICHELLE WEEKS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	No. CV09-08102 (MMM) (RZx				
18	situated,	CLASS ACTION				
19	Plaintiff, vs.	PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED NOT OF MOTION FOR CLASS				
30 l	<u> </u>	OI MOTION TON CLASS				

KELLOGG COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; KELLOGG USA, INC., a Michigan corporation; KELLÓGG SALES COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

ICE CERTIFICATION

[Filed concurrently with Plaintiff's Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities (filed conditionally under seal); Supplemental Declaration of Wayne S. Kreger, Esq. (filed conditionally under seal); Declaration of Michelle Weeks; [Proposed] Order]

Date: October 18, 2010 Time: 10:00 A.M.

Crtrm: 780

Judge: Hon. Margaret M. Morrow

0

Santa Monica, California 90405

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO	ATT	DADTIES	AND THEIR	ATTORNEYS	OF BECORD
1()	\mathbf{A}	PARIIRS	AND I HEIR	ALIURNEYS	OF RECURE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on October 18, 2010 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard before the Margaret M. Morrow, United States District Court Judge, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Plaintiff Sabena Lakshmi Kammula ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the proposed class, will and hereby does move for class certification pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

Plaintiff seeks to certify two California classes pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3), as follows. The proposed Cocoa Krispies Class consists of:

All persons residing in the State of California who purchased Cocoa Krispies for personal use and not for resale during the time period June 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010.

The proposed Rice Krispies Class consists of:

All persons residing in the State of California who purchased Rice Krispies for personal use and not for resale during the time period June 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010.

This motion is brought pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and based on the grounds that class certification is proper.

First, each of the four requirements under Rule 23(a) is met. The numerosity requirement is easily met as the class is comprised of no less than tens of thousands of class members. The commonality requirement is also readily satisfied because there is a common core of salient facts and legal issues. Furthermore, Plaintiff's claims are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

typical of the class's – all arise from the same injury, same conduct, and same standardized misrepresentations by Defendants. Finally, Rule 23(a)(4)'s adequacy of representation requirement is also satisfied. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel has any conflict of interest with the proposed class. Moreover, Plaintiff and her counsel will prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class.

Second, class certification is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(3). Regarding each of the three causes of action alleged in the complaint, common issues predominate over any individual questions. Moreover, under the circumstances, a class action is a superior method of adjudication.

Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully moves for an order granting this motion for class certification and: (1) certifying a Rule 23(b)(3) Cocoa Krispies Class; (2) certifying a Rule 23(b)(3) Rice Krispies Class; (3) appointing Michelle Weeks as Class representative; and, (4) appointing Milstein, Adelman & Kreger, LLP and Whatley, Drake & Kallas, LLC as class counsel pursuant to Fed.R. Civ.P. 23(g). This motion is brought in accordance with Local Rule 23-3 and made following a conference of counsel pursuant to Local Rule 7-3 which took place on February 12, 2010 . (See Kreger Decl.).

This motion is based upon this notice of motion, the concurrently-filed motion and memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, the declaration of Wayne Kreger and all exhibits attached thereto, the declaration of Sabena Lakshmi Kammula, all of the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and upon such other and further evidence as the Court may be presented at the time of the hearing.

DATED: August 10, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sabena L. Kammula

/s/ Wayne S. Kreger MILSTEIN, ADELMAN & KREGER LLP Wayne S. Kreger (Cal. Bar No. 154759) 2800 Donald Douglas Loop North

Santa Monica, CA 90405

WHATLEY DRAKE KALLAS Joe R. Whatley, Jr. 1540 Broadway, 37th Floor New York, NY 10036

LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD WEIL RUBINSTEIN Howard Weil Rubinstein (*Pro Hac Vice*)P.O. Box 4839 Aspen, CO 81611